

**Select Board Meeting
October 10, 2023 – 7:00 PM
Sanford Hall
155 Village Street**

Present Glenn Trindade, Chair; Frank Rossi, Vice-Chair; Todd Alessandri, Clerk; Dennis Crowley, Member.

Absent: Maryjane White, Member.

Staff Present: Michael Boynton, Town Manager; Allison Potter, Assistant Town Manager; Peter Pelletier, Department of Public Works (DPW) Director, Carol Pratt, Finance Director; Barbara Saint Andre, Community and Economic Development Director; Sandra Johnston, Communications Director.

Others Present: Gale: Kathleen Hervol, Director of Athletics; Finance Committee (FinCom): Brian Cowan, Chair, Bruce Carbone, Member, Jim Sullivan, Member; Parks Commission: Deb Rossi, Chair, John Farrell, Member, Rick D’Innocenzo, Member; School Committee: Cindy Sullivan, Chair, Melissa Greenfield, Vice-Chair; Armand Pires, School Superintendent; Mike Grennon, School Facilities Director, Pat Leonhardt, School Finance Director, Jeff Parcels, Athletics Director; David Travalini, Conservation Commission (ConCom) Chair; Sarah Raposa, Planning and Economic Development Board (PEDB) Vice-Chair.

At 7:00 PM, Mr. Trindade called the meeting to order, led the Pledge of Allegiance, and reminded all to remember the number 19 representing the number of Medway residents who died in service to our country.

Public Comments: There were none.

Approval of Minutes: September 18, 2023

The Board reviewed the draft minutes of September 18, 2023.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board approve the minutes of September 18, 2023. Mr. Alessandri seconded. Discussion: Mr. Crowley requested that on page three, line 140 that “road” be added in front of “projects”, that on page six, line 274 that “few” be changed to “five to ten”, and on line 183 to 184 to clarify or remove the last sentence. **VOTE: 4-0-0.**

Discussion: Update on Options for Infill and Carpet Replacement for Hanlon Turf & Track Project

The Board reviewed presentation from Gale.

Mr. Boynton noted Gale was selected for the replacement of both the turf and track. We are targeting design completion through the winter, bidding in late winter to have the bid ready for spring Town Meeting, and to have a contract in place so we can begin construction next year. The big question is what is available for fill since these are ten years old.

Ms. Hervol stated she is the project manager for this project. She reviewed the components of the turf field which include the turf fiber systems, infills, and underlayments, i.e., shock pad. The shock pad reduces concussions providing a firmer feel with softer results. She reviewed alternative infill as there were perceived health/safety concerns with crumb rubber and noted that crumb rubber gets very hot

with the need for irrigation to cool the fields. She noted the alternative infills are more cost effective than in the past. She then reviewed the five infill options. The first is natural which include cork, corkonut, walnut shells (Safeshell), and engineered wood (Brockfill). The pros are that it is natural and light in color so not as hot. The cons are that they need more maintenance with replenishing and watering, have issues with freezing, if bad flooding occurs it can disperse out of the fibers, and can cost \$50,000 to \$200,000 more along with \$100,000 for the shock pad. Connections are placed in the field to allow for watering. Mr. Rossi asked if walnut shells cause issues with allergies. Ms. Hervol stated no. Mr. Alessandri asked if there is a need to water engineered wood. Ms. Hervol stated no. Mr. Crowley asked which one of the alternates lasts the longest. Ms. Hervol stated crumb rubber lasts noting it is the turf fibers that breakdown and they can recycle seventy-five percent of the crumb rubber. Brockfill has a 10-year warranty and is also long lasting. The plastics she will discuss can be recycled and last longer but have a much higher cost. Virgin Rubber infill is manufactured and only made for this purpose. The pros are it is similar to crumb rubber, and you can get different colors, so it is cooler than crumb rubber. However, this is much more expensive and difficult to obtain. Thermo Plastic Elastomer (TPE) is manmade. The pros are the color is cooler and maintenance is similar to crumb rubber. The cons are the cost and, if you get a poor quality, it can clump and melt. Mr. Alessandri asked if there are concerns about use due to the petroleum base. Ms. Hervol confirmed. Coated sand (Envirofill) is acrylic sand with a quartz core. The pros are it is very durable, there is decreased fly-up, maintenance is similar to crumb rubber, it is firm, is preferred for small ball sports, has a warranty up to 16 years, and can be recycled. The cons are it is more abrasive and if the coating wears off gets even more abrasive and is a higher cost. She reviewed specific projects where each type of infill was installed. She then reviewed the cost analysis per square foot for each of the options from \$4.75 for crumb rubber to \$7.25 for TPE and which infills require shock pads. She noted standard maintenance is \$7,000 per year for each type, reviewed the warranties for each type of infill, and if it is reusable. Mr. Trindade asked about the experience with the shock pads. Ms. Hervol stated they have a 20-year warranty and go right under the carpet on top of the stone base as the alternative infills do not have the same amount of "give". Mr. Trindade asked about the need for a shock pad with crumb rubber. Ms. Hervol stated the value to add a shock pad is that it provides more safety. Mr. Rossi asked about the three fiber systems choices. Ms. Hervol stated they use a combination of dual fiber and split film as together this works best for multiple sports. Mr. Rossi asked if Brockfill needs watering. Ms. Hervol stated no. Mr. Crowley asked why there was not discussion about the pros and cons for crumb rubber. Ms. Hervol stated the biggest issue with crumb rubber is concerns that have been around for years of its use. She noted seventy-five percent of fields in this country today are still crumb rubber and the quality has improved. Mr. Crowley asked in the last two to three years, what was the most common infill installed. Ms. Hervol stated crumb rubber. Mr. Crowley asked if there is any price difference in replacement of a field versus installation of a new field. Ms. Hervol stated the labor is the same and the cost difference is based on the type of infill used. Mr. Crowley asked if the quote includes labor. Ms. Hervol stated no. Mr. Crowley asked if she has any idea of the cost if we will be replacing the crumb rubber on Hanlon field. Ms. Hervol stated they have a design meeting tomorrow to discuss this. Mr. Crowley asked if crumb rubber will be the baseline cost analysis and they will move up from that based on the other infill options. Ms. Hervol confirmed. She stated the total cost including labor runs from \$750,000 - \$850,000 which is based upon the costs for installations this summer and includes the shock pad. Mr. Crowley asked what she has recently installed. Ms. Hervol stated crumb rubber at seventy-five percent of all installations. Mr. Trindade asked what the pads are made of. Ms. Hervol stated the one she had brought was of a composite film and they require them to be tested and certified for various chemicals. Mr. Alessandri asked if there are any negatives with certain types based on all the rain we have had recently. Ms. Hervol stated no. Mr. Crowley asked for the overall cost to replace the track and field. Mr. Boynton stated that \$1 to 1.6million was estimated. Mr. Crowley noted that based on that amount we are in the correct framework. Mr. Boynton confirmed. Mr. Boynton asked if they plan on reusing a portion of the existing

crumb rubber or using all new crumb rubber. Ms. Hervol stated usually the crumb rubber is not the best quality to reuse but they will determine if any can be reused. Mr. Boynton asked if we assume that both the field and track are all new, and we get the green light to start the minute school gets out, what is the typical construction window. Ms. Hervol stated three to four months. Mr. Boynton stated that why we did not think about grass may come up at Town Meeting. He asked if grass was installed would there be any cost savings including the maintenance costs, noting the lack of ability to use grass as much as turf, and asked the earliest it would be available for use. Ms. Hervol stated it would depend on if sod or seed is used, the drainage system would need to be rebuilt, and it can take over a growing season so if done in the fall you could not use the field until the following spring. He asked if per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) is a genuine and real concern and what is the greatest concern of these types of infill. Ms. Hervol stated they have tested the turf, infill, and shock pad for PFAS, and the vendor is not allowed to ship the products to the site until the testing results are in hand. Crumb rubber and Brockfill all came back non-detectable, so it was too small to measure in the lab. Mr. Trindade asked about the studies of turf with the shock pad relative to any decrease in injuries. Ms. Hervol stated there has been a big impact on concussions. Mr. Crowley asked if anyone in the last two to three years has removed crumb rubber and put in grass. Ms. Hervol stated no. The School Committee had no questions. Mr. Cowan asked about any impact on soft tissue injury. Ms. Hervol stated crumb rubber performs very well but they have not seen one or another type of infill that is better relative to soft tissue injury. Mr. Carbone asked about the cork relative to watering and if the color is intentional to keep it cool. Ms. Hervol stated to keep it playable, cork requires watering, and the natural color provides for a cooler surface. Mr. Sullivan asked if any additional equipment will be needed for maintenance if we use a different infill. Ms. Hervol stated no. Ms. Rossi asked about recycling, and if we could use what we have would that decrease cost. Ms. Hervol confirmed noting they would need to determine the quality of the crumb rubber. Mr. Farrell asked if the natural materials allow weed growth. Ms. Hervol confirmed. Mr. D'Innocenzo suggested that, as we have looked at these products before, we reach out to other schools that have used alternatives and obtain their feedback as part of the investigation of these options. Ms. Hervol stated that she can provide list of Athletic Directors. Mr. Crowley noted that the crumb rubber ends up in the student athletes' socks and asked if the other infills result in the same amount. Ms. Hervol noted that the others have some but not as much as crumb rubber. Mr. Boynton then reviewed the next steps including: (1) schematics hitting DPW's desk tomorrow, (2) finalizing the numbers by the end of November, and (3) by early December having the final numbers.

Authorization to Expend Grant Funds: Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection (MassDEP) Recycling Dividends Program - \$10,200

The Board reviewed the (1) notice of grant award form, and (2) grant award letter.

Mr. Pelletier stated Ms. Carlisle obtains this grant each year which helps pay for the curbside chronicle and supports some of the Recycling Center costs.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board authorize the expenditure of the Mass DEP Recycling Dividends Program funds as discussed. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Vote: Reopen the November 13, 2023, Fall Town Meeting Warrant to Insert Article for High School Road Street Acceptance and to Authorize a Power Purchase Agreement (PPA), Re-close Warrant

There were no materials for the Board to review.

Mr. Boynton reported on his meeting with the Massachusetts Department of Transportation relative to the availability of state funding. It was noted it would be possible to apply to the small bridge program for \$100,000 for design reimbursement and \$500,000 for construction reimbursement. However, one

key element is that it must be officially laid out as a public way to be eligible for grant funding. Guerriere and Halnon are currently working on the plans. Mr. Boynton noted that the PPA is for the McGovern roof. Dr. Pires stated they are investigating installation of a solar array on the McGovern roof with Solect Energy and waiting on engineering plans to determine if the roof can support this. Mr. Boynton stated since it is a 20-year lease, it needs to go before Town Meeting for approval.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board reopen the November 13, 2023, Fall Town Meeting Warrant to add the articles noted in the agenda, and re-close the warrant. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Vote: Intent to Layout High School Road as a Public Way and Refer to the Planning and Economic Development Board for Report

There were not materials for the Board to review.

Mr. Boynton noted the motion changed to the last parking lot versus first parking lot, which will take it almost to the circular drive.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board express its intent to lay out as a public way High School Road in its entirety from its intersection with Summer Street to its end at the last parking lot intersection and to refer this matter to the Planning and Economic Development Board for a report and recommendation. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Vote Recommendations: November 13, 2023 Fall Town Meeting Warrant

The Board reviewed the (1) November 13, 2023 Fall Town Meeting Warrant, and (2) memo from Barbara Saint Andre.

ARTICLE 1: (Prior Year Bills)

Mr. Boynton stated this is for an unpaid bill for \$150.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 1. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 2: (Free Cash Transfer to Facility Stabilization Fund)

Mr. Boynton stated this transfer will bring the balance back to \$6 million.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 2. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 3: (Free Cash Transfer to General Stabilization Fund)

Mr. Boynton stated this amount demonstrates to the bonding agencies that we continue to fund this.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 3. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 4: (CPA Funds: 158 Main Street Repair Design Work)

Mr. Boynton suggested this be a to be determined as we had solicited quotes and the first firm selected excluded a lot in the \$75,000 that we made available, so we will reach out to second firm. Mr. Rossi asked if the Community Preservation Committee (CPC) met yet. Mr. Boynton stated no noting the funds will come from the Historical account. The Board asked for the balance in the other CPC accounts. Ms.

Pratt reported the following: (1) \$1.1 million in the Historical account, (2) \$548,000 in Open Space, (3) Affordable Housing is at \$4,700 as we are still paying back on Glen Brook, and (4) \$2.5 million in the general fund with projected receipts at year end at \$3.4 million. Mr. Crowley asked if any more will be coming in this year. Ms. Pratt stated no. She noted she estimated receiving forty-five percent from the state as that is what we received last year.

ARTICLE 5: (Transfer from Ambulance Receipts Fund to Purchase New Ambulance)

Mr. Boynton stated we will be purchasing two and this is funding the first one. The first one will cost \$435,000, which would be funded from the Ambulance Reserve account, and the second one will cost \$480,000 due to the need to replace the power stretcher system. We will fund the second one in the spring. We did not fund both now as we need to ensure that Ms. Pratt can transfer \$700,000 in the spring to support the Fiscal Year (FY) 25 budget. Mr. Crowley asked about the amount in the reserves. Ms. Pratt stated she does not have that information at this time. Mr. Crowley asked if there is enough in the existing account to fund both ambulances at this point. Mr. Boynton confirmed. Mr. Crowley asked when we anticipate paying for the two ambulances. Mr. Boynton stated next October.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 5. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 6: (Free Cash Transfer: Opioid Settlement Abatement Funding)

Mr. Boynton stated we received \$85,000 to spend for the opioid settlement abatement fund services. Ms. Pratt explained this money was received last fiscal year and cannot be used until it flows to free cash as required by the Department of Revenue (DOR). Since we know how much we received, we can make a monetary article which allows the Board of Health to use the funds to promote the programs that they are looking to implement.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 6. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 7: (Free Cash Transfer: Capital Items)

Mr. Boynton stated the Capital Improvement Planning Committee (CIPC) approved all these items noting these are all immediate needs and reviewed the listed items.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 7. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Mr. Rossi asked if the asbestos was a reimbursable expense. Dr. Pires stated no. Mr. Trindade asked why we did not notice this with all the work going on there. Dr. Pires stated the contractor identified potential issues that would be happening soon, so it was more cost effective to do it all at once, and that this is to reimburse the schools for money already spent.

ARTICLE 8: (Borrowing: High School Culvert Replacement - Design)

Mr. Boynton stated Tetra Tech estimated \$300,000 for the design work and he would still like to negotiate this. He noted we are looking at calendar year 2025 for a project start and this will be a multi-stage project. Mr. Crowley stated his preference to leave the amount as is and address this at the next meeting.

ARTICLE 9: (Raise and Appropriate: Supplement FY24 Building Department Budget)

Mr. Boynton stated this reflects the added services inside the building department relative to weights and measures. It is an extra cost of \$11,700 to supplement the work to be done for Millis and Medfield but we anticipate taking in \$18,000.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 9. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 10: (Raise and Appropriate: Supplement FY24 Unemployment Expense Account)

Mr. Boynton stated this \$75,000 is for unemployment and will get us to the spring. Mr. Alessandri asked what the original budget was. Mr. Boynton stated \$65,000. Mr. Alessandri noted that we are running at double what was projected.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 10. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 11: (Repurpose Water Enterprise Borrowing)

Mr. Boynton stated this is not to replace water meters but to replace radio boxes with connectivity back to the towers. There are 4,200 customers and 2,800 need to be replaced at total cost of \$374,850. Mr. Crowley asked if this will fully fund this and if any spare funds were built in. Mr. Boynton confirmed there is \$30,000 of additional funding built in. Mr. Pelletier stated that is due to the need to add a collector on the other end of Holliston Street off Main Street. Mr. Rossi asked if the issue was with the boxes malfunctioning or lack of support from the vendor. Mr. Pelletier stated it is both as we received no response from the manufacturer and cannot obtain replacements.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board approve the transfer of \$374,850 in Article 11 as discussed. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Mr. Boynton stated that will leave \$82,150 available if the Board would like to use it. If not used, it will fall to retained earnings, Ms. Pratt will close it out in the fall, and will be available in the spring.

ARTICLE 12: (Repurpose Monetary Articles – Town)

Mr. Boynton explained the specifics of the repurposing of these funds based on the changes to the original estimates.

Mr. Rossi moved that the Board approve Article 12. Mr. Alessandri seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Mr. Crowley noted that there is not a lot of discussion tonight on these warrant articles as these have already been discussed previously by the Board numerous times.

ARTICLE 13: (Repurpose Monetary Articles – School)

Mr. Boynton explained the repurposing of these funds.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 13. Mr. Rossi seconded. Discussion: Mr. Crowley handed out a document noting several building code issues with Burke especially related to ADA accessibility so would like to step back and look at the future plans for Burke. He suggested a working group of two Select Board members, two School Committee members, the Superintendent, and Town Manager. He reviewed his suggestion of reworking the repurposing of the funding from Burke to Memorial for design and construction of the roof and not fund the Burke projects until we know the

plans for Burke's future. Dr. Pires stated he thought these projects would be excluded from building code issues but are not and agrees with the need to put that on hold but would still like to deal with the roof. He did not want to move all the funding to Memorial but take \$70,000 for potential capital needs. Mr. Boynton stated we could amend this and only repurpose \$50,000 and move that to the Memorial roof and deal with the repurpose in the spring. There was further discussion on the redistribution of these funds.

Ms. Saint Andre noted that the PEDB has recommended favorable action on all the zoning articles. It was noted that the PEDB had a public hearing on these, as well, and the only comment was on Article 24, which was resolved.

ARTICLE 24: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: Schedule of Uses – BESS)

Ms. Saint Andre stated last Town Meeting had several zoning bylaw changes one of which was kicked back by the Attorney General specific to Tier 2 BESS, the larger ones, because you could have one that is part of a solar array and those are exempt from zoning. Therefore, we had to add language that the Tier 2 BESS are not allowed in any zone except the Energy Resource unless they are exempt under General Laws Chapter 48, Section 3.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 24. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 25: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: Section 8.4 Open Space Residential Devlt.)

Ms. Saint Andre stated this is a form of a subdivision that clusters homes with open space on the outside and is allowed to include convenience stores, community centers, and row houses of more than five. This had been scaled back to single family homes and duplexes. She noted that this was changed as it was out of date.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 25. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 26: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: Mitigation)

Ms. Saint Andre stated last year we added more requirements and looked at the existing bylaw that the PEDB can charge up to six percent, but we have never used this so would like to remove it. Also, the language has been updated related to having sidewalks brought up to current standards or to pay into the sidewalk fund.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 26. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 27: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: Kennel Definition)

Ms. Saint Andre stated we require a special permit if there are more than three dogs over three months old, but state law is four, so we increased it to four for consistency.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 27. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 28: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: Housekeeping)

Ms. Saint Andre stated these are updating language, none are substantive, reflect current practice, remove redundancies, and reviewed the specific changes.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 28. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 29: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: EV Parking)

Ms. Saint Andre stated this is to require certain type of signage for future EV parking spaces and explained the specific language.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 29. Mr. Rossi seconded. Discussion: Mr. Crowley asked if signs are there now. Ms. Saint Andre stated moving forward we will require this signage. There was further discussion about the actual signage language potentially causing some confusion. **VOTE: 3-1-0. Mr. Crowley voted nay.**

ARTICLE 30: (Amend Zoning Bylaw: Central Business District)

Ms. Saint Andre stated there is a probability that we will be getting plans for downtown, and one issue is that they may be seeking to add additional height to one of the buildings. Now, height allowed is based on where the building is located from street. The question is could we go higher to seventy-two feet, the equivalent of five-stories, if they give open space to the Town noting this is by special permit of the PEDB. Mr. Boynton stated this allows the PEDB to protect open space, better regulate, and deal with public safety needs noting this also serves one item on the master plan which is to create a downtown environment.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 30. Mr. Trindade seconded. Discussion: Mr. Crowley asked for the rationale. Mr. Trindade explained the developer's plans and the issues with the residents abutting the proposed development. This allows for open space donation to the Town. Mr. Crowley asked for the total number of additional apartments this would allow. Ms. Raposa stated the PEDB has not seen the plans. **VOTE: 3-0-1. Mr. Alessandri abstained.**

ARTICLE 21: (Amend General Bylaws: Stormwater Management and Land Disturbance)

Ms. Saint Andre stated this is an update to three main things: (1) taking a lot of the minutia out of the bylaw and putting it into the regulations, (2) word smithing and clarifications, and (3) updating this to current standards. Mr. Crowley stated this looks like this is making this more difficult. Ms. Saint Andre stated it is not.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 21. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 31: (STREET ACCEPTANCE – High School)

This article will be discussed at the next meeting.

ARTICLE 32: (Lease for Power Purchase Agreement)

Mr. Boynton stated we already heard from the school on this issue earlier in the meeting.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 32. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 33: (Citizens' Petition: Firearm Discharge)

Mr. Boynton stated this asks to limit/not allow shooting within 1,500 feet of a dwelling noting Town Counsel has said there are towns will a stricter limit than that of the state. This would be a motion on the floor of Town Meeting. Mr. Trindade stated that he thought state trumps town. Mr. Boynton stated

the Attorney General (AG) will determine this if approved. Nancy Charves of 4 Mallard Drive and Kevin Moreau of 8 Mallard Drive introduced themselves. Mr. Moreau stated there is a gentleman at the end of Mallard Drive on Winterberry Lane that has eight acres and shoots guns. He has the right to shoot within the 500 feet limit. He is shooting parallel to the power company and town road. The power line area is used by children, bikers, and dirt bikers. This is a matter of safety as well as disturbing the peace. Hearing 200 to 300 rounds over a three-hour period is unnerving. There is an issue of power line worker safety, as well. The bullets are made of lead and may impact ground water. Others shoot with him. Ms. Charves stated she was the one who obtained the signatures. She stated that a lot of kids play on Mallard Drive, as it is a cul-de-sac, and noted there is also a hiking trail in that area. She noted the overwhelming positive response when gathering signatures. Mr. Rossi asked how often he is out shooting. Mr. Moreau stated one day this year, two days last year, noting he purchased the area specifically to allow him to shoot. Mr. Rossi asked if the Police Chief spoke to the resident. Mr. Boynton confirmed noting he has the right based on current state law. Mr. Moreau explained the specific area that the resident is shooting into. Mr. Rossi asked if Mr. Moreau has spoken to this resident. Mr. Moreau stated he has not, as he had heard he was unapproachable. Mr. Crowley told them to be prepared to get up and speak at Town Meeting and keep it concise. Mr. Crowley noted that he has not heard anything about this. Mr. Alessandri noted that he signed the petition. Mr. Trindade stated he spoke with gun owners who agree with this as well. The Board looked at the specific location referenced by the residents.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve Article 33. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

ARTICLE 22: (Amend General Bylaws: New Tree Bylaw)

Mr. Crowley noted the Select Board had asked this to be reworked. Mr. Travalini confirmed. Mr. Rossi asked about the driveway area that was missing from the prior version. Mr. Travalini stated that was addressed and that this now follows the public safety guidelines as well as rules within a development noting they reduced the fees 80 to 85%. He stated they are not looking to make money but want to maintain the tree canopy noting this also conforms with the Master Plan to keep Medway as a rural town. They used other towns' bylaws as a guide. Mr. Crowley stated he still does not completely understand the article. It was noted that the PEDB has not reviewed this to date.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board make this article to be determined. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

The following articles will be addressed at the next Select Board meeting.

ARTICLE 14: (Accept MGL Ch. 90, Sec. 20A, Parking Regulations)

ARTICLE 15: (Amend General Bylaws: Handicapped Parking)

ARTICLE 16: (Addition to General Bylaws: Vehicle Removal Authorization)

ARTICLE 17: (Addition to the General Bylaws: Pedestrian Control Regulations)

ARTICLE 18: (Amend General Bylaws: Town Officers and their Duties)

ARTICLE 19: (Amend General Bylaws: Town Officers and their Duties)

ARTICLE 20: (Amend General Bylaws: Dog Bylaw)

ARTICLE 23: (Amend General Bylaws: New Noise Bylaw)

Consideration of Public Event Permit Application: MEPTO Shamrock Shuffle – 3/9/24

The Board reviewed the (1) application, and (2) departmental recommendations.

Mr. Alessandri moved that the Board approve the public event permit as presented subject to the Police Chief's recommendations and proof of appropriate insurance coverage. Mr. Rossi seconded. No discussion. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Action Items from Previous Meeting

The Board reviewed the action items dated September 5, 2023.

This agenda item was not discussed.

Town Manager's Report

There were no items for the Board to review.

Summer Street Pedestrian Safety Improvements: Mr. Boynton stated there was a bad accident on Summer Street last week and he has given instructions to DPW to improve the visibility of sidewalks and crosswalks on Summer Street using existing DPW funds.

Town Manager Out of Office: Mr. Boynton stated he will be out of the office as follows:

- Wednesday, October 11 – Medway Business Council – Lunch
- Friday, October 13 – Out of Office
- Wednesday, October 18 – Norfolk County Managers - Millis

NEXT Select Board Meeting – October 23, 2023 – Regular Meeting

Select Board's Reports

There were no items for the Board to review.

Mr. Crowley asked Ms. Potter to provide the cost of IT's camera monitoring contract. He also stated that the Lions are requesting approval to place flags in front of plaza for Veterans Day. The Board approved.

At 9:45PM, Mr. Rossi moved that the Board enter Executive Session for Reason Exemption 6: To consider the purchase, exchange, taking, lease, or value of real property if such discussion may have a detrimental effect on the negotiating position of the governmental body [8 Populatic Street] and the Chair so declares, with no intention of returning to open session. Mr. Alessandri seconded. It was voted by roll call: Alessandri aye; Crowley aye; Rossi aye; Trindade aye. VOTE: 4-0-0.

Respectfully submitted,
Liz Langley
Executive Assistant
Town Manager's Office